DATE: June 3, 2022

RE: Town DPW-RFP 2022-#03

This Addendum No. 1 includes responses to questions received to date:

Q1: The bridge is to be painted after the steel repairs are complete. Does the existing bridge steel have lead paint? Is any environmental testing required, and should the cost of environmental investigation and testing be included in the fee proposal?

R1: Please refer to the RSR report. The RSR report included in the RFP includes additional information regarding assumed abatement, testing, etc. All respondents shall include adequate environmental testing to determine the appropriate repair approach as Add Alternate #1.

Q2: The steel repairs to be designed will need to be based on a current inspection and load rating. The inspection and load rating performed previously is from 2017 and will need to be updated. Should the fee proposal include a cost for inspection and updated load rating?

R2: The inspection and load ratings will need to be updated to meet current standards. Include a fee proposal for these services as Add Alternate #2.

Q3: The RSR states that the intent of the report is not to strengthen the bridge to make it compliant to carry current AASHTO pedestrian loading. So should the steel repairs be designed to replace the original steel section and the connections?

R3: The intent of rehabilitation is outlined in the Scope of Work. As stated in the Scope of Work: “to repair deteriorated steel members, replace damaged timber planking, perform bearing assembly repair, and paint the steel structure”.

Q4: The RSR outlines two rehabilitation options: Minor and Major. Which option is the Town requiring and which one should we base our fee on?

R4: All proposals should include proposals with fees that reflect the rehabilitation as outlined in the Scope of Work section.
Q5: Is a hydraulic report available? Does this bridge get overtopped during floods?

R5: A Hydraulic and Hydrologic Report was not prepared for this RFP. The Flower Bridge is approximately 800 feet downstream of a National Weather Service Gauge, which provides Historic Crests of the Farmington River. Historical photographs indicate that floodwaters have reached the bridge superstructure during significant flooding events.

Q6: Under the “Company Profile” section of “Response Format,” “type of Township” is requested to be included. As business address is listed separately, what information should be included to satisfy the “type of Township” requirement?

R6: Disregard this requirement of the Company Profile, as it does not pertain to this RFP.

Q7: The RFP asks for resumes to be submitted under “Experience” and for short form resumes to be submitted under “Personnel.” Can the Town confirm that it desires resume information to be included twice within the proposals? If so, can the Town clarify what its expectations are for “resumes” versus “short form resumes” to be submitted?

R7: All resumes shall be submitted as short form resumes to meet the requirements of the “Experience” and “Personnel” sections.

Q8: Three (3) copies of qualification data are requested. How many copies of the fee proposal should be provided?

R8: One (1) copy of the fee proposal in a separate sealed envelope should be submitted.

Q9: Should we include the cost of under-water inspection in our price proposal?

R9: A fee proposal for under-water inspection should be included as Add Alternate #3.

THIS ENDS ADDENDUM NO. 1.